

Reena A. Gambhir (*pro hac vice*)
Mary Sameera Van Houten Harper (*pro hac vice*)
HAUSFELD LLP
888 16th Street NW, Suite 300
Washington, D.C. 20006
Telephone: (202) 540-7200
Facsimile: (202) 540-7201
E-mail: rgambhir@hausfeld.com
E-mail: mvanhouten@hausfeld.com

Attorneys for Petitioner Consumers' Association

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN JOSE DIVISION**

) CASE NO.: 5:23-mc-80322 (EJD)
IN RE: CONSUMERS' ASSOCIATION) (Consolidated)
LITIGATION)
) STIPULATION AND ~~PROPOSED ORDER~~
) EXTENDING THE DEADLINE FOR
) SAMSUNG TO MOVE TO QUASH OR
) RESPOND TO SUBPOENAS
)
)
)
)
)

Pursuant to Local Civil Rules 6-2 and 7-12, applicants Consumers' Association ("Which") and Qualcomm Incorporated ("Qualcomm"), Samsung Semiconductor, Inc. ("SSI"), and Samsung, Electronics America, Inc. ("SEA," and together with SSI, the "Samsung Entities") hereby stipulate as follows:

WHEREAS, on December 7, 2023, Which commenced the above-captioned miscellaneous proceeding (the “Which 1782 Proceeding”) seeking discovery pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1782 (“Section 1782”) from Apple, as well as the Samsung Entities, in connection with a lawsuit (the “U.K. Action”) that Which commenced against Qualcomm before the Competition Appeal Tribunal of the United Kingdom, *see* Dkt. No. 1;¹

¹ References to a "Dkt. No." refer to the ECF numbers in the above-captioned, consolidated

1 WHEREAS, on January 23, 2024, the Court entered an *ex parte* order in the Which 1782
2 Proceeding authorizing Which to serve subpoenas on Apple and the Samsung Entities, without
3 prejudice to any argument that may be raised in a motion to quash, and requiring Apple and the
4 Samsung Entities to file any such motion within 30 days of service or notice of the subpoenas and
5 this Court's January 23, 2024 order, *see* Dkt. No. 21 at 7;

6 WHEREAS on January 25, 2024, Apple received a copy of Which's subpoena and this
7 Court's January 23, 2024 order;

8 WHEREAS, on January 29, 2024, SSI received, but was not served with, a copy of Which's
9 subpoena and SEA was served with Which's subpoena on February 2, 2024;

10 WHEREAS SSI served written objections to Which's aforementioned subpoena on
11 February 12, 2024, and SEA served written objections to Which's aforementioned subpoena on
12 February 13, 2024;

13 WHEREAS, on February 23, 2024, Which served SSI with Which's subpoena, and also
14 re-served SEA with Which's subpoena;

15 WHEREAS SSI and SEA served amended written objections and responses to Which's
16 subpoenas on March 8, 2024;

17 WHEREAS, on January 26, 2024, Qualcomm commenced a separate miscellaneous
18 proceeding captioned *In re Ex Parte Application of: Qualcomm Incorporated*, No. 5:24-mc-80019
19 (N.D. Cal) (the "Qualcomm 1782 Proceeding" and, with the Which 1782 Proceeding, the "1782
20 Proceedings") seeking discovery pursuant to Section 1782 from Apple and the Samsung Entities
21 in connection with the U.K. Action, *see* Qualcomm Dkt. No. 1;

22 WHEREAS, on February 7, 2024, the Court entered an order relating the 1782 Proceedings
23 pursuant to Local Civil Rules 3-12 and 7-11, *see* Dkt. No. 24; Qualcomm Dkt. No. 13;

24 WHEREAS, on February 9, 2024, this Court entered an *ex parte* order in the Qualcomm
25 1782 Proceeding authorizing Qualcomm to serve subpoenas on Apple and the Samsung Entities,

26
27 Which 1782 Proceeding. References to a "Qualcomm Dkt. No." refer to the ECF numbers in the
Qualcomm 1782 Proceeding, as defined herein.

1 without prejudice to any argument that may be raised in a motion to quash, and requiring Apple
2 and the Samsung Entities to file any such motion within 30 days of service or notice of the
3 subpoena and this Court's February 9, 2024 order, *see* Qualcomm Dkt. No. 14 at 6;

4 WHEREAS, on February 15, 2024, Qualcomm served a subpoena and a copy of this
5 Court's February 9, 2024 order on Apple;

6 WHEREAS, on February 16, 2024, Qualcomm served subpoenas on SSI and SEA, along
7 with a copy of this Court's February 9, 2024 order;

8 WHEREAS SSI and SEA served written objections to Qualcomm's subpoenas on March
9 1, 2024;

10 WHEREAS, on February 16, 2024, this Court entered a stipulated order in each of the 1782
11 Proceedings setting April 3, 2024 as the deadline for the Samsung Entities to move to quash the
12 subpoenas that Which and Qualcomm were authorized to serve on them, *see* Dkt. No. 30;
13 Qualcomm Dkt. No. 18;

14 WHEREAS, on February 21, 2024, this Court entered an order in each of the 1782
15 Proceedings granting Apple's motion to stay the deadline for Apple to respond to the subpoena
16 that Which delivered to Apple, and ordering that Apple "need not move to quash, respond to or
17 comply with" that subpoena "until April 3, 2024," *see* Dkt. No. 32; Qualcomm Dkt. No. 20;

18 WHEREAS, on March 15, 2024, this Court entered a stipulated order in the Qualcomm
19 1782 Proceeding setting April 3, 2024 as the deadline for Apple to move to quash or respond to
20 the subpoena that Qualcomm served on Apple, *see* Qualcomm Dkt. No. 22;

21 WHEREAS, on March 28, 2024, this Court consolidated the 1782 Proceedings pursuant to
22 Rule 42(a) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, and ordered that all papers to be filed in the
23 consolidated actions shall be filed in the above captioned-miscellaneous proceeding;

24 WHEREAS, on April 1, 2024, this Court entered a stipulated order in the consolidated
25 1782 Proceedings setting April 24, 2024 as the deadline for Apple and the Samsung Entities to
26 move to quash or respond to the subpoenas served on them by Which and Qualcomm, *see* Dkt.
27 No. 38;

1 WHEREAS, on April 19, 2024, this Court entered a stipulated order in the consolidated
2 1782 Proceedings setting May 15, 2024 as the deadline for Apple and the Samsung Entities to
3 move to quash or respond to the subpoenas served on them by Which and Qualcomm, *see* Dkt.
4 No. 40;

5 WHEREAS, on May 13, 2024, this Court entered a stipulated order in the consolidated
6 1782 Proceedings setting June 5, 2024 as the deadline for Apple and the Samsung Entities to move
7 to quash or respond to the subpoenas served on them by Which and Qualcomm, *see* Dkt. No. 42;

8 WHEREAS, on June 5, 2024, this Court entered a stipulated order in the consolidated 1782
9 Proceedings setting June 20, 2024 as the deadline for Apple and the Samsung Entities to move to
10 quash or respond to the subpoenas served on them by Which and Qualcomm, *see* Dkt. No. 45;

11 WHEREAS, on June 20, 2024, this Court entered a stipulated order in the consolidated
12 1782 Proceedings setting July 5, 2024 as the deadline for Apple and the Samsung Entities to move
13 to quash or respond to the subpoenas served on them by Which and Qualcomm, *see* Dkt. No. 47;

14 WHEREAS, on July 8, 2024, this Court entered a stipulated order in the consolidated 1782
15 Proceedings setting August 5, 2024 as the deadline for Apple and the Samsung Entities to move
16 to quash or respond to the subpoenas served on them by Which and Qualcomm, *see* Dkt. No. 49;

17 WHEREAS, on August 6, 2024, this Court entered a stipulated order in the consolidated
18 1782 Proceedings setting August 19, 2024 as the deadline for Apple and the Samsung Entities to
19 move to quash or respond to the subpoenas served on them by Which and Qualcomm, *see* Dkt.
20 No. 53;

21 WHEREAS, on August 16, 2024, this Court entered a stipulated order in the consolidated
22 1782 Proceedings setting October 3, 2024 as the deadline for Apple and the Samsung Entities to
23 move to quash or respond to the subpoenas served on them by Which and Qualcomm, *see* Dkt.
24 No. 55;

25 WHEREAS, on October 4, 2024, this Court entered a stipulated order in the consolidated
26 1782 Proceedings setting November 14, 2024 as the deadline for Apple and the Samsung Entities
27 to move to quash or respond to the subpoenas served on them by Which and Qualcomm, *see* Dkt.
28

1 No. 57;

2 WHEREAS, on November 14, 2024, this Court entered a stipulated order in the
3 consolidated 1782 Proceedings setting December 5, 2024 as the deadline for Apple and the
4 Samsung Entities to move to quash or respond to the subpoenas served on them by Which and
5 Qualcomm, *see* Dkt. No. 59;

6 WHEREAS, on December 5, 2024, this Court entered a stipulated order in the consolidated
7 1782 Proceedings setting February 13, 2025 as the deadline for Apple and the Samsung Entities
8 to move to quash or respond to the subpoenas served on them by Which and Qualcomm, *see* Dkt.
9 No. 61;

10 WHEREAS, on January 13, 2025, this Court entered a stipulated order in the consolidated
11 1782 Proceedings staying the Proceedings against Apple only, *see* Dkt. No. 64;

12 WHEREAS, since December 5, 2024, Which, Qualcomm, and the Samsung Entities have
13 continued to meet and confer in good faith;

14 WHEREAS, on February 12, 2025, Which, Qualcomm, and the Samsung Entities agreed
15 to request to extend the deadline for the Samsung Entities to move to quash or respond to Which's
16 subpoena, so as to continue exploring whether a compromise can be reached that would obviate
17 the need for a motion to quash; and

18 WHEREAS, Which, Qualcomm, and the Samsung Entities wish to set April 10, 2025 as
19 the deadline for the Samsung Entities to move to quash or respond to Which's and Qualcomm's
20 subpoenas, thereby aligning the motion-to-quash deadlines in the 1782 Proceedings and allowing
21 an opportunity for efficient briefing of any motions to quash.

22 NOW THEREFORE, WHICH, QUALCOMM, AND THE SAMSUNG ENTITIES
23 HEREBY STIPULATE, subject to the approval of this Court, that April 10, 2025 is the deadline
24 for the Samsung Entities to move to quash or respond to the subpoenas that Which and Qualcomm

1 served on the Samsung Entities in connection with the 1782 Proceedings. The timing and
2 procedures set forth in Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 45 shall otherwise apply.^{2, 3}
3

4 [remainder of page intentionally left blank]
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22

23 ² To clarify Which's position in an ongoing dispute between Which and the Samsung
24 Entities, Which does not hold the view that the Court's deadline for the motion to quash is obviated
25 by any non-party serving objections to the subpoena. The parties may seek a clarification from the
Court, if necessary.

26 ³ The Samsung Entities do not understand the Court's orders or the parties' stipulations
27 (which specifically include the phrase "[t]he timing and procedures set forth in Federal Rule of
Civil Procedure 45 shall otherwise apply") to require a motion to quash in order to preserve
objections if a subpoena recipient timely served written objections under Rule 45, and view these
stipulations as merely preserving the option of filing a motion to quash.

1 DATED: February 13, 2025

2 Respectfully submitted

3 s/ Samuel Maida

4 Samuel Maida (Cal. Bar. No. 333835)
 5 HAUSFELD LLP
 6 600 Montgomery Street, Suite 3200
 7 San Francisco, CA 94111
 Tel: (415) 633-1908
 Fax: (415) 358-4980
 E-mail: smaida@hausfeld.com

8 Reena A. Gambhir (*pro hac vice*)
 9 Mary Sameera Van Houten Harper (*pro hac vice*)
 HAUSFELD LLP
 10 888 16th Street NW, Suite 300
 Washington, D.C. 20006
 Telephone: (202) 540-7200
 Facsimile: (202) 540-7201
 E-mail: rgambhir@hausfeld.com
 E-mail: mvanhouten@hausfeld.com

11
 12
 13
 14 *Attorneys for Petitioner Consumers' Association*

15
 16 /s/ Leo D. Caseria

17 Leo D. Caseria (Cal. Bar. No. 240323)
 SHEPPARD, MULLIN, RICHTER & HAMPTON
 18 LLP
 19 350 South Grand Avenue, 40th Floor
 Los Angeles, CA 90071
 Telephone: (213) 620-1780
 Facsimile: (213) 620-1398
 E-mail: lcaseria@sheppardmullin.com

20
 21 Mona Solouki (Cal. Bar. No. 215145)
 SHEPPARD, MULLIN, RICHTER & HAMPTON
 22 LLP
 Four Embarcadero Center, 17th Floor
 23 San Francisco, California 94111
 Telephone: (415) 434-9100
 Facsimile: (415) 434-3947
 E-mail: msolouki@sheppardmullin.com

24
 25
 26
 27 *Attorneys for Respondents Samsung Semiconductor,
 Inc. and Samsung Electronics America, Inc.*

1
2 s/ Felice Galant
3
4

5 Felice Galant (*pro hac vice*)
6 felice.galant@nortonrosefulbright.com
7 NORTON ROSE FULBRIGHT US LLP
8 1301 Avenue of the Americas
9 New York, New York 10019
10 Telephone: (212) 318-3000
11 Facsimile: (212) 318-3400

12 Katherine G. Connolly (CA SBN 313640)
13 katie.connolly@nortonrosefulbright.com
14 NORTON ROSE FULBRIGHT US LLP
15 555 California Street, Suite 3300
16 San Francisco, California 94104
17 Telephone: (628) 231-6800
18 Facsimile: (628) 231-6799

19 Richard S. Zembek (*pro hac vice*)
20 richard.zembek@nortonrosefulbright.com
21 NORTON ROSE FULBRIGHT US LLP
22 1550 Lamar, Suite 2000
23 Houston, Texas 77010
24 Telephone: (713) 651-5151
25 Facsimile: (713) 651-5246

26
27 *Attorneys for Petitioner Qualcomm Incorporated*

1 ATTESTATION PURSUANT TO LOCAL CIVIL RULE 5-1(i)(3)

2 Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 5-1(i)(3), I certify under penalty of perjury that concurrence in the
3 filing of this document has been obtained from the signatories above.

4 _____
5 /s/ Samuel Maida

6 Samuel Maida
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

1 **[PROPOSED] STIPULATED ORDER**

2 PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED that the deadline for the Samsung
3 Entities to move to quash or respond to the subpoenas served on them by Which and Qualcomm shall
4 be extended to ~~April 10, 2025~~
5 ~~May 8, 2025~~
45 shall otherwise apply.

6 Date: February 14, 2025

7 
8 HONORABLE EDWARD J. DAVILA
9 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE